

October 17, 2016

State Street Becomes Latest Large Asset Manager to Outline Concerns Over Board Responses to Activist Short-Termism

Focuses on Rapid Settlements of Activist Demands That May Not Protect Interests of Long-Term Investors; Urges Companies to Consult With Long-Term Shareholders on Activist Response

SUMMARY

Over the past year or so, a number of the world's largest institutional investors have expressed concern over the potential negative long-term effects of board responses to shareholder activism, particularly the risk that, under pressure from activists, companies may take actions that favor short-term interests at the expense of longer-term results. Last week, State Street Global Advisors (SSGA) issued a market commentary that pointedly raises this concern in the context of settlement agreements between public companies and activists seeking board seats. SSGA sets out the following concerns and observations:

- Settlement agreements that are entered into quickly and without appropriate consultation with other shareholders deprive long-term shareholders of the opportunity to express their views.
- SSGA's review of the actions of the largest activists identified several red flags that raise questions about the long-term effects of activism, including increases in CEO pay and tying CEO pay to earnings per share, as well as undue focus on share buybacks, spin-offs and other financial engineering.
- Settlement agreements should include terms that protect the interests of long-term investors, which could include requirements that activists meet share ownership thresholds and hold shares for a defined period, and restrictions on share pledges by the activist.

SSGA also announced a policy of engaging with companies that pursue previously unplanned financial engineering transactions within a year of a settlement, to better understand the reason behind the strategic change.

SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP

The environment today is far different from a decade ago, when activist demands were commonly viewed by companies as hostile acts to be resisted. This evolution has been due in large part to the willingness of traditionally passive institutional investors to support activist agendas in appropriate cases, which has spurred companies to become more responsive to, and aware of, investor concerns. To some extent, the recent concerns over short-termism, including SSGA's concerns over settlement agreements, represent a cautionary reminder by institutional investors that engagement and responses to activist campaigns should be balanced and informed, and that a quick capitulation to an activist agenda may be just as damaging to long-term value as an overly defensive stance.

DISCUSSION

In the past two years, a number of large institutional investors have indicated, both through public statements and through direct communications with companies, that they are concerned about certain effects of board responses to shareholder activism on long-term value. For example, in 2015, Larry Fink, Chairman and CEO of BlackRock, sent [letters](#) to CEOs of large-cap companies urging them not to take short-term actions, such as buybacks and increased dividends, that may satisfy the demands of short-term activists, but that impair long-term value. In 2016, Mr. Fink sent [similar letters](#), urging companies to articulate a strategic framework for long-term value creation to serve as a counterpoint to activist demands for actions with short-term benefits. In addition, William McNabb, Chairman and CEO of Vanguard, has sent [letters](#) to companies emphasizing the importance of engaging with long-term investors, and some of the largest institutional investors have joined industry initiatives to combat an overemphasis on short-term results, including as signatories to the CommonSense Corporate Governance Principles¹ and as members of Focusing Capital on the Long Term.²

The recent SSGA market commentary was issued on October 10, 2016,³ and raised concerns over one manifestation of short-termism—a trend toward rapid settlement of activist demands without sufficient consultation with other shareholders. SSGA raised concerns over both the process by which these agreements are often reached as well as their substance. From a process standpoint, SSGA notes that settlement agreements reached rapidly or without broad shareholder input have the effect of denying long-term shareholders the benefit of public airing of the activists' views on strategy, compensation, share buyback programs and other factors, especially as compared to proxy contests.

¹ The [Principles](#) were issued in July 2016 by representatives of a number of large corporations and institutional investors, including SSGA, BlackRock, Vanguard, Capital Group, CPPIB and T. Rowe Price.

² FCLT is dedicated to developing practical tools and approaches that encourage long-term behaviors in business and investment decision-making. The list of its members is available at: <http://www.fcltglobal.org/members/members>.

³ SSGA's [market commentary](#) and [related press release](#) are available on its website.

SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP

From a substance standpoint, SSGA identifies specific terms that should be considered for inclusion in settlement agreements to help align with long-term investor interests, including the following:

- **Longer Duration.** SSGA suggests that the typical settlement agreement duration of six to 18 months may not be long enough to incentivize the company and activist to be sensitive to long-term factors.
- **Long-term Shareholding Commitment.** SSGA would like agreements to specify minimum ownership levels of activists and/or nominees for long periods in exchange for any board representation, and to require directors who are affiliated with an activist to tender their resignation if the activist's ownership in the company falls below the minimum threshold. Requiring activists or their director nominees to continue owning shares for a significant period after receiving board seats can align their interests with those of long-term shareholders.
- **Restrictions on Pledging.** SSGA notes that activist investors often pledge a significant portion of their stake in margin accounts, and suggests that boards should evaluate carefully the pledging practices of activists and develop robust mechanisms to oversee and mitigate any potential risk from the pledge positions to the stock price.

SSGA notes that it conducted a review of the actions of large activists over the past three years, and identified several "red flags" for long-term investors that "raise questions about the motivations behind the actions and potential implications for sustainable value creation." These include:

- **Increasing CEO Pay.** Significantly increasing CEO pay without explanation;
- **Tying CEO Compensation to EPS.** Incorporating earnings per share as the primary determinant of CEO compensation, which can overly focus management on short-term stock performance and often favors activities such as share buybacks over allocating capital for optimal long-term returns; and
- **Financial Engineering.** Focusing on financial engineering such as share buybacks, leveraged dividends, spin-offs and M&A, which could add value in the short term but may also undermine long-term value. In situations where a company engages in unplanned financial engineering within one year of a settlement agreement, SSGA will now, as a policy matter, engage with the company to understand the reason for the strategic change.

Finally, SSGA emphasizes broadly that companies and boards should view passive investors as long-term partners, and should ensure that strategic decisions, including business strategy as well as strategy in responding to an activist demand, are understood by, and reflect the input of, the company's long-term investors.

The continued focus of large institutions on corporate governance and long-term value is an important aspect of the ongoing development and maturation of the relationship between public companies and shareholders. While practice has moved away from the "traditional" approach of assuming that an activist is always wrong, neither should companies adopt the view that an activist is always right. Companies that engage effectively with their long-term shareholders in the ordinary course, and in response to an activist demand, will be best equipped to develop an informed and balanced response that is well received by long-term investors.

SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP

For a more detailed discussion of considerations on addressing activism in the changing environment, please see our publication, dated March 10, 2015, entitled "[The Evolving Landscape of Shareholder Activism.](#)"

* * *

SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP

ABOUT SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP

Sullivan & Cromwell LLP is a global law firm that advises on major domestic and cross-border M&A, finance, corporate and real estate transactions, significant litigation and corporate investigations, and complex restructuring, regulatory, tax and estate planning matters. Founded in 1879, Sullivan & Cromwell LLP has more than 875 lawyers on four continents, with four offices in the United States, including its headquarters in New York, three offices in Europe, two in Australia and three in Asia.

CONTACTING SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP

This publication is provided by Sullivan & Cromwell LLP as a service to clients and colleagues. The information contained in this publication should not be construed as legal advice. Questions regarding the matters discussed in this publication may be directed to any of our lawyers listed below, or to any other Sullivan & Cromwell LLP lawyer with whom you have consulted in the past on similar matters. If you have not received this publication directly from us, you may obtain a copy of any past or future related publications from Michael B. Soleta (+1-212-558-3974; soletam@sullcrom.com) in our New York office.

CONTACTS

New York

Francis J. Aquila	+1-212-558-4048	aquilaf@sullcrom.com
Robert E. Buckholz	+1-212-558-3876	buckholzr@sullcrom.com
Catherine M. Clarkin	+1-212-558-4175	clarkinc@sullcrom.com
Jay Clayton	+1-212-558-3445	claytonwj@sullcrom.com
Audra D. Cohen	+1-212-558-3275	cohenad@sullcrom.com
H. Rodgin Cohen	+1-212-558-3534	cohenhr@sullcrom.com
Heather L. Coleman	+1-212-558-4600	colemanh@sullcrom.com
Donald R. Crawshaw	+1-212-558-4016	crawshawd@sullcrom.com
Robert W. Downes	+1-212-558-4312	downesr@sullcrom.com
Mitchell S. Eitel	+1-212-558-4960	eitelm@sullcrom.com
William G. Farrar	+1-212-558-4940	farrarw@sullcrom.com
Matthew M. Friestedt	+1-212-558-3370	friestedtm@sullcrom.com
Joseph B. Frumkin	+1-212-558-4101	frumkinj@sullcrom.com
David B. Harms	+1-212-558-3882	harmsd@sullcrom.com
Alexandra D. Korry	+1-212-558-4370	korrya@sullcrom.com
Stephen M. Kotran	+1-212-558-4963	kotrans@sullcrom.com
John P. Mead	+1-212-558-3764	meadj@sullcrom.com
Mark J. Menting	+1-212-558-4859	mentingm@sullcrom.com
Scott D. Miller	+1-212-558-3109	millersc@sullcrom.com
Robert W. Reeder III	+1-212-558-3755	reederr@sullcrom.com
Robert S. Risoleo	+1-212-558-4813	risoleor@sullcrom.com
Melissa Sawyer	+1-212-558-4243	sawyerem@sullcrom.com

SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP

Glen T. Schleyer	+1-212-558-7284	schleyerg@sullcrom.com
William D. Torchiana	+1-212-558-4056	torchianaw@sullcrom.com
Marc Trevino	+1-212-558-4239	trevinom@sullcrom.com
Krishna Veeraraghavan	+1-212-558-7931	veeraraghavank@sullcrom.com

Washington, D.C.

Janet T. Geldzahler	+1-202-956-7515	geldzahlerj@sullcrom.com
Eric J. Kadel, Jr.	+1-202-956-7640	kadelej@sullcrom.com
Robert S. Risoleo	+1-202-956-7510	risoleor@sullcrom.com

Los Angeles

Patrick S. Brown	+1-310-712-6603	brownp@sullcrom.com
Eric M. Krautheimer	+1-310-712-6678	krautheimere@sullcrom.com
Alison S. Ressler	+1-310-712-6630	resslera@sullcrom.com

Palo Alto

Scott D. Miller	+1-650-461-5620	millersc@sullcrom.com
Sarah P. Payne	+1-650-461-5669	paynesa@sullcrom.com
John L. Savva	+1-650-461-5610	savvaj@sullcrom.com

London

Nikolaos G. Andronikos	+44-20-7959-8470	andronikosn@sullcrom.com
Kathryn A. Campbell	+44-20-7959-8580	campbellk@sullcrom.com
John O'Connor	+44-20-7959-8515	oconnorj@sullcrom.com
David Rockwell	+44-20-7959-8575	rockwelld@sullcrom.com
George H. White III	+44-20-7959-8570	whiteg@sullcrom.com

Paris

William D. Torchiana	+33-1-7304-5890	torchianaw@sullcrom.com
----------------------	-----------------	--

Frankfurt

Krystian Czerniecki	+49-69-4272-5525	czernieckik@sullcrom.com
---------------------	------------------	--

Melbourne

Robert Chu	+61-3-9635-1506	chur@sullcrom.com
------------	-----------------	--

Sydney

Waldo D. Jones Jr.	+61-2-8227-6702	jonesw@sullcrom.com
--------------------	-----------------	--

Tokyo

Izumi Akai	+81-3-3213-6145	akaii@sullcrom.com
Keiji Hatano	+81-3-3213-6171	hatanok@sullcrom.com

Hong Kong

Garth W. Bray	+852-2826-8691	brayg@sullcrom.com
Michael G. DeSombre	+852-2826-8696	desombrem@sullcrom.com
Chun Wei	+852-2826-8666	weic@sullcrom.com
John D. Young Jr.	+852-2826-8668	youngj@sullcrom.com
