



# The Guide to Managing a Corporate Crisis

Third Edition

Editors

Sergio J Galvis, Robert J Giuffra Jr and Werner F Ahlers

# The Guide to Managing a Corporate Crisis

---

Third Edition

Editors

Sergio J Galvis, Robert J Giuffra Jr  
and Werner F Ahlers

Reproduced with permission from Law Business Research Ltd

This article was first published in December 2020

For further information please contact [Natalie.Clarke@lbresearch.com](mailto:Natalie.Clarke@lbresearch.com)

**LATIN LAWYER**

**Publisher**  
Clare Bolton

**Business Development Manager**  
Jack Levy

**Associate Publisher**  
Rosie Cresswell

**Editorial Assistant**  
Tommy Lawson

**Production Operations Director**  
Adam Myers

**Production Editor**  
Helen Smith

**Subeditor**  
Robbie Kelly

**Chief Executive Officer**  
Nick Brailey

Published in the United Kingdom  
by Law Business Research Ltd, London  
Meridian House, 3435 Farringdon Street, London, EC4A 4HL, UK  
© 2020 Law Business Research Ltd  
[www.latinlawyer.com](http://www.latinlawyer.com)

No photocopying: copyright licences do not apply.

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation, nor does it necessarily represent the views of authors' firms or their clients.

Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. The publishers accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided is accurate as at November 2020, be advised that this is a developing area.

Enquiries concerning reproduction should be sent to Law Business Research, at the address above. Enquiries concerning editorial content should be directed to the Publisher – [clare.bolton@lbresearch.com](mailto:clare.bolton@lbresearch.com)

ISBN 978-1-83862-429-3

Printed in Great Britain by  
Encompass Print Solutions, Derbyshire  
Tel: 0844 2480 112

# Acknowledgements

---

The publisher acknowledges and thanks the following law firms, advisory firms and corporations for their learned assistance throughout the preparation of this book:

Anheuser-Busch InBev

The Arkin Group LLC

Chevez Ruiz Zamarripa

Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP

Creel, García-Cuéllar, Aiza y Enriquez

Debevoise & Plimpton LLP

Dechert LLP

D'Empaire

Galicia Abogados

Finsbury

McLarty Associates

Mattos Filho, Veiga Filho, Marrey Jr e Quiroga Advogados

Mitrani, Caballero & Ruiz Moreno

Morrison & Foerster LLP

Payet, Rey, Cauvi, Pérez Abogados

Pinheiro Neto Advogados

Posse Herrera Ruiz

Rodrigo, Elías & Medrano Abogados

Sullivan & Cromwell LLP

# Publisher's Note

---

Latin Lawyer is delighted to publish *The Guide to Managing a Corporate Crisis*.

Edited by Sergio J Galvis, Robert J Giuffra Jr and Werner F Ahlers of Sullivan & Cromwell LLP, and containing the knowledge and experience of 50 leading practitioners from a variety of disciplines, it provides guidance that will benefit all practitioners when an unexpected crisis hits.

Corruption investigations, expropriation, industrial accidents, pandemics: corporate crises take many forms, but each can be equally dangerous for companies in Latin America. Covering the impact of political instability, the role of communications in crisis response, approaches to bribery investigations and game plans in response to financial stress, this book is designed to assist key corporate decision-makers and their advisers in effectively planning for and managing corporate crises in the region.

We are delighted to have worked with so many leading firms and individuals to produce *The Guide to Managing a Corporate Crisis*. If you find it useful, you may also like the other books in the Latin Lawyer series, including our *Guide to Corporate Compliance, and Regulators*, our online tool that provides an overview of the major regulators in Latin America.

My thanks to the editors for their vision and energy in pursuing this project and to my colleagues in production for achieving such a polished work.

# Contents

---

Introduction: Effective Crisis Management in Latin America..... 1  
*Sergio J Galvis, Robert J Giuffra Jr and Werner F Ahlers*

## **Part I: Lessons from the Covid-19 Crisis**

- 1 Managing the Covid-19 Pandemic in Brazil: Issues and Recommendations for Directors and Officers..... 11  
*Cleber Venditti, Paula Indalecio and Thiago Jabor*
- 2 Covid-19 and the Impact on Corporate Governance and Compliance in Colombia..... 23  
*Jaime Herrera Rodriguez and Oscar Tutasaura Castellanos*

## **Part II: Navigating Political and Country Risks**

- 3 Argentina: A Legal Toolbox for an Unprecedented Crisis..... 33  
*Mariela I Melhem, Esteban Valansi and Siro P Astolfi*
- 4 Fire Marshals, Not Firefighters: A Different Approach to Crisis Management in Latin America .....46  
*Thomas F McLarty III*
- 5 Dealing with the Challenges of Political Violence and Crime in Latin America..... 53  
*Jack Devine and Amanda Mattingly*
- 6 Navigating a Corporate Crisis: Managing the Risks of Downsizing in Venezuela.....66  
*Fulvio Italiani and Carlos Omaña*
- 7 M&A in a Crisis-Prone Environment: Red Flags and Warning Signs in Peru .....71  
*José Antonio Payet and Carlos A Patrón*

## **Part III: Stakeholder Relations**

- 8 Never Let a Good Crisis Go to Waste: The Role of Culture, Perception and Common Sense in Crisis Management ..... 83  
*Pablo Jimenez-Zorrilla and Gregorio Lascano*

## Contents

9	Singing from the Same Song Sheet: How Collaboration Between Legal and Communications Can Mitigate a Crisis.....	93
	<i>Paul A Holmes and Eric M Wachter</i>	
10	Crisis Management as a Tool for Approaching Shareholder Activism.....	103
	<i>Sergio J Galvis and Werner F Ahlers</i>	
11	Data Privacy and Cybersecurity: Crisis Avoidance and Management Strategies .....	113
	<i>Jeremy Feigelson, Andrew M Levine, Christopher Ford, Anna R Gressel, Stephanie Cipolla and Hilary Davidson</i>	
12	Mining Projects in Peru: Community Relations, Indigenous Rights and the Search for Sustainability.....	137
	<i>Luis Carlos Rodrigo Prado</i>	
<b>Part IV: Restructuring and Insolvency</b>		
13	Weathering a Crisis in Brazil: Fiduciary Duties of Directors and Officers of Brazilian Companies Approaching Insolvency .....	149
	<i>Giuliano Colombo and João Guilherme Thiesi da Silva</i>	
14	Financial Distress: An Action Plan for Corporate Restructurings in Mexico .....	160
	<i>Eugenio Sepúlveda</i>	
15	United States Bankruptcy Proceedings for Latin American Corporates.....	172
	<i>Andrew G Dietderich, James L Bromley and Fabio Weinberg Crocco</i>	
<b>Part V: Anti-Corruption and Government Investigations</b>		
16	Anti-Corruption in Latin America.....	181
	<i>James M Koukios, Ruti Smithline, Gerardo Gomez Galvis and Julian N Radzinschi</i>	
17	When Good Companies Fight Against the Bad: A Practical Crisis Management Guide for Doing Business in Mexico.....	195
	<i>Leonel Perezniето and Narciso Campos</i>	
18	Representing Individual Executives in Latin America .....	203
	<i>Mauricio A España, Hector Gonzalez, Andrew J Levander, Mariel Bronen and Yando Peralta</i>	
19	The Changing Landscape in Brazilian Investigations Since Lava Jato.....	214
	<i>Breon S Peace, Jonathan Kolodner and Lisa Vicens</i>	
20	Cross-Border Transfer Pricing Investigations and Proceedings .....	224
	<i>José Luis Fernández Fernández and César De la Parra Bello</i>	
	About the Authors.....	235
	Contributing Law Firms' Contact Details.....	255

# Part IV

---

## Restructuring and Insolvency

# 15

## United States Bankruptcy Proceedings for Latin American Corporates

**Andrew G Dietderich, James L Bromley and Fabio Weinberg Crocco<sup>1</sup>**

The covid-19 pandemic has shaken global markets and resulted in unprecedented business disruptions. Unemployment rates have skyrocketed and many countries have been facing a public health crisis of enormous proportions. Despite generous government stimulus packages and extremely low interest rates, the ongoing pandemic has had harsh economic effects. Some industries have been hit particularly hard, including airlines, entertainment, hospitality, auto parts, and oil and gas.

The negative economic effects of the pandemic have been particularly acute in Latin America. The financial stability and outlook of many corporates in the region have been adversely affected. Even historically stable companies have been facing extraordinary challenges and are evaluating options for restructuring their balance sheets and addressing liquidity constraints. In this challenging environment, while several Latin American corporates facing severe liquidity issues have turned to domestic insolvency proceedings to restructure their debts and operations, some companies – primarily airlines, which have an inherently international business model – have turned to Chapter 11 of the US Bankruptcy Code to restructure their balance sheets and obtain access to liquidity.

The ongoing crisis has demonstrated that business professionals and non-US practitioners dealing with potential insolvencies of Latin American companies should carefully consider whether US law can provide them with useful reorganisation tools, either in the form of plenary Chapter 11 proceedings or ancillary Chapter 15 proceedings supporting a home country reorganisation. Recent experiences have shown that, while companies that lack a significant operation presence in the United States might not look to restructure there in the first instance, the US Bankruptcy Code is extraterritorial and allows US courts to assist actively in international restructurings of companies operating predominantly

---

<sup>1</sup> Andrew G Dietderich and James L Bromley are partners, and Fabio Weinberg Crocco is an associate at Sullivan & Cromwell LLP.

outside the United States. This chapter provides an overview of Chapter 11 and Chapter 15, and discusses how Latin American corporates can benefit from the tools available under each of these regimes.

## **Chapter 11**

Historically, the United States has taken a different view towards corporate restructuring from other nations. The corporate reorganisation provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, which we can, for convenience, call ‘Chapter 11’, were not written for or by the banking community in the United States for the primary benefit of creditors. Indeed, the main objective of Chapter 11 is to prevent liquidation and preserve long-term corporate value, not the punishment of bankrupts or even the maximisation of immediate creditor recoveries. For this reason, Chapter 11 has several essential elements that sometimes surprise non-US professionals. These elements can make Chapter 11 an attractive option for restructuring companies operating in Latin America.

For example:

- a company need not be organised in, nor predominantly operating in, the United States, to file for Chapter 11;
- a company may be solvent and file for Chapter 11;
- the board and management remain in control during the Chapter 11 case;
- the internal affairs of the company in Chapter 11 are governed by the laws of the jurisdiction in which the company is organised;
- immediately and automatically upon filing a Chapter 11 petition, a broad stay prevents creditor action worldwide and the termination of contracts during the Chapter 11 case in any jurisdiction, even those contracts that expressly give counterparties termination rights upon an insolvency filing;
- to run its business, the company may borrow money and incur debts on a basis that is senior to its old debts, ignoring restrictive covenants;
- the board and management have the exclusive right, for a substantial period, to propose a plan of reorganisation to end the Chapter 11 case; creditors may not do so;
- the plan of reorganisation may repay creditors in many forms, including ‘take-back’ paper and equity, so long as certain rules are followed;
- the debtor may undertake avoidance actions under state and federal law in an attempt to claw back value for the benefit of the estate;
- the debtor can sell assets free and clear of claims and encumbrances, using the power of the court to provide clean title to purchasers;
- the debtor can assume, assign or reject certain contracts with material unperformed obligations as a means of restructuring its operations;
- at the end of a successful reorganisation, debts are discharged and the board and management are exculpated from liabilities relating to the restructuring;
- courts may grant injunctions channelling claims against the debtor and specified third parties to a trust funded for the benefit of claimants, enjoining plaintiffs from asserting claims against the parties protected by the injunction and compelling plaintiffs to seek recovery from the trust instead; and

- Chapter 11 is overseen, not by generalist commercial courts, but by special courts with specific expertise in corporate reorganisation and a broad mandate to play an active role in the progress of the restructuring.

This Chapter 11 ‘toolbox’ is made even more attractive for foreign debtors because of two principles of deference running through US jurisprudence: deference to foreign law and respect for foreign creditors. The Bankruptcy Code defers to non-bankruptcy law to determine most of the substantive rights of parties. As a result, the fiduciary duties of a board of directors, the value of a contract, the rights and obligations of parties under an agreement, the validity and priority of liens and the vast majority of other issues that arise in a Chapter 11 case, are all resolved by foreign law for international debtors. For example, the question of whether a creditor properly perfected his or her lien over real estate located in Brazil will be governed by Brazilian law, and the question of whether a party to an Ecuadorian contract has a claim against the debtor for failure to perform will be governed by Ecuadorian law. Equally important, courts have developed a set of principles to respect the rights of foreign creditors during a US bankruptcy case. For example, in a US bankruptcy case involving a foreign debtor, it is commonplace for the court to grant a special order exempting employees and trade creditors outside the United States from the application of the ‘automatic stay’, thereby allowing non-US employees and trade creditors to be paid in full while financial creditors or US creditors are substantially impaired.

The benefits of US restructuring law are available to companies organised in, and predominantly operating in, other jurisdictions. Unlike most of the laws in the United States, Chapter 11 is expressly extraterritorial. There is no requirement that a debtor be organised in the United States. The technical jurisdictional requirement – including for a plenary Chapter 11 proceeding as well as for a Chapter 15 ancillary proceeding – is merely that the company have some property in the United States, and courts have interpreted the property requirement to be satisfied by a single bank account in New York City. Notwithstanding this low threshold, once a debtor is in Chapter 11, the orders of the court in the United States have global reach. For example, the moratorium on creditor action created by the filing of a Chapter 11 petition in the United States (the automatic stay) prohibits creditor action anywhere in the world, instantaneously on the first day of the case and without a requirement for international recognition.

Any global company of sufficient size is likely to have US creditors and US stockholders, incur debts under US law, conduct business in US dollars and keep at least part of its money in US banks, even in the absence of direct US operations. The ubiquity of corporate contacts with the United States makes US jurisdiction feasible for a surprising number of foreign debtors. Although a US court will not accept a Chapter 11 case where critical court orders cannot be enforced, few internationally active companies are in a position where they do not have some assets or operations subject to the jurisdictional reach of the United States. This is equally true in many pre-insolvency situations, referred to in the United States as ‘prepackaged’ or ‘prearranged’ Chapter 11 cases, where the parties affected are internationally active financial creditors and businesses with multinational operations in dollars. Accordingly, Chapter 11 may be a very attractive option for multinationals looking to undertake a balance sheet or operational restructuring.

## **Chapter 15**

Even where a company decides not to pursue a plenary Chapter 11 in the United States, it may very well wish to undertake an ancillary Chapter 15 proceeding to recognise and give support to a primary proceeding in its home country, so long as it meets the technical jurisdictional requirements discussed above. Chapter 15 of the United States Bankruptcy Code was added in 2005 as the adoption of the Model Law on Cross-Border Insolvency promulgated by the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law. The principal goal of Chapter 15 is to promote legal certainty in international reorganisations and the efficient administration of such proceedings as between US courts and courts of foreign jurisdictions. Chapter 15 accomplishes this by providing judicial aid and relief to foreign insolvency proceedings, mostly after a formal recognition process.

While Chapter 15 does not provide a foreign debtor with the full toolbox of statutory powers provided to a Chapter 11 debtor, there are a number of useful benefits that are still available, particularly once the foreign proceeding has been recognised. These benefits are especially valuable to companies with significant creditor constituencies or assets in the United States. They include:

- a stay that prevents creditor action on assets within the territorial jurisdiction of the United States;
- access to the United States court system, including the ability to bring suit and to request discovery;
- entrustment of administration or realisation of US assets;
- the recognition and enforcement of foreign restructuring plans approved by a foreign court in a fair process, even if the relief provided by those foreign plans exceeds the relief that a US court could provide on its own;
- authorisation to examine witnesses and conduct bankruptcy discovery in the United States; and
- other relief at the discretion of the court (US courts have broad latitude to grant and tailor relief in Chapter 15 cases).

Chapter 15 can, therefore, be valuable to use either as a sword – to pursue valuable litigation claims on behalf of the reorganising company – or as a shield – to protect the foreign debtor from creditor actions under a US jurisdictional umbrella. Just as in a Chapter 11 proceeding, a participant in a Chapter 15 can expect a United States court to defer to the laws of the home proceeding absent compelling circumstances. Such deference, in fact, underpins the purpose and function of the statute.

### **Limitations on United States proceedings**

Both Chapter 11 and Chapter 15 are subject to limitations. These limitations must be carefully considered by business professionals and practitioners before deciding to proceed with a US case.

## **Cost**

Chapter 11 is, of course, expensive. The debtor must pay for its own attorneys and financial advisers during the pendency of a bankruptcy proceeding, and also for the professional advisers to any official committees appointed for the benefit of creditors. Depending on the targeted timeline, the aggregate case cost can be significant. Chapter 15 proceedings are significantly less expensive, as they involve neither statutory creditor committees nor (at least, typically) the involved motion practice associated with a Chapter 11.

## **Jurisdiction**

As noted above, limited jurisdictional requirements do apply to bankruptcy proceedings brought in the United States and, to file for Chapter 11 or Chapter 15, foreign debtors must have some property that is located in the United States. The bar is low – a single bank account with a few thousand dollars is sufficient – but care must be taken that jurisdiction is not manufactured. United States judges are empowered to, and do, dismiss both Chapter 11 and Chapter 15 cases that are brought in bad faith, including where jurisdiction has been manufactured for the purpose of gaming the system. Foreign debtors must avoid attempting to baldly manufacture US jurisdiction where none exists.

## **Abstention**

United States courts have significant leeway to abstain from hearing Chapter 11 cases. A bankruptcy court's abstention powers may be less broad in a Chapter 15, but nonetheless, US judges will attempt to avoid situations where they know that, as a practical matter, their orders may be ineffective or interfere with the national or political interests. There are some examples of judges exercising their bankruptcy abstention powers to avoid hearing cases when they could potentially be viewed as interfering in issues of national importance in other countries, or where they believe that the foreign debtor or its property is not realistically subject to regulation by a US court.

## **Practical limitations on enforcement**

Even when a US court is willing to provide relief, there can be significant practical limitations inherent in enforcing US orders in other nations. There are foreign jurisdictions where US court orders carry little weight. This issue tends to be less significant with respect to creditors that have substantial connections to the United States, such as global financial institutions. However, a foreign debtor may have difficulties enforcing the automatic stay and other court orders, such as priming lien orders or orders governing the rejection of executory contracts, against creditors or contractual counterparties with little or no connection to the United States, such as trade creditors and local employees.

Furthermore, even in jurisdictions that may ultimately be willing to recognise and enforce US court orders, there can be procedural and substantive hurdles to satisfy before obtaining relief. While Chapter 15 compels judges to limit the scope of their orders to assets within the territorial jurisdiction of the United States, no such limitation exists for Chapter 11 relief. Foreign debtors considering a plenary Chapter 11 proceeding should review the location of their asset and creditor base and decide, with foreign counsel as appropriate,

whether Chapter 11 proceedings can serve as practical bulwarks to creditor action. This is an area where effective partnership between US and foreign advisors is paramount to success.

### **Manifestly contrary to public policy**

In Chapter 15 cases, courts are empowered to deny recognition and refuse enforcement of foreign orders, and may deny other forms of relief, if such relief would be ‘manifestly contrary’ to the public policy of the United States. This is a narrowly tailored exception, sometimes referred to as a ‘safety valve’, the limits of which have not been fully explored. There is, accordingly, at least a theoretical risk that a court will not recognise or enforce foreign orders that raise previously untested issues in US courts.

### **Information sharing**

A Chapter 11 debtor must publish wide ranging information on its business as well as provide creditors and their advisors substantial access to information on a confidential basis. A company accustomed to keeping its financial information private may find it unpleasant and burdensome to share its confidential financial information with its creditors and other interested stakeholders.

### **Unfair process**

As a practical matter, US judges considering relief in Chapter 15 cases will also be hesitant to enforce and uphold foreign orders that they believe were obtained through a fundamentally unfair process. If objecting parties identify fundamental concerns over due process or credible evidence of fraud or misconduct in connection with the foreign proceeding, US judges will hesitate to give force to foreign orders resulting from such proceedings.

### **Considering your options**

Designing and implementing an effective strategy to restructure a balance sheet, address liquidity constraints or implement an operational reorganisation is a highly fact-dependent exercise. This is an area where cookie-cutter solutions do not work and good results start with good process and planning, especially with respect to addressing liquidity needs. As a result, a company faced with a potential insolvency will need to spend significant time with its financial and legal advisers, considering its particular facts and circumstances before making any decision – and any one company’s particulars are beyond the scope of this chapter. Nonetheless, there are some good rules of thumb to keep in mind when considering whether the best restructuring pathway involves Chapter 15, Chapter 11, a foreign proceeding or some combination.

Chapter 15 proceedings can be useful and efficient restructuring tools for foreign corporations with a significant US creditor base or with outstanding debt instruments governed by US law. Chapter 15 is attractive especially where access to the full Chapter 11 ‘toolbox’ is unnecessary or unwarranted. This includes cases in which high levels of creditor consents may mean that a foreign debtor has no need to utilise the Bankruptcy Code’s cram-down features, or where sufficient levels of liquidity will allow the foreign debtor to proceed with a restructuring without the need for priming financing. This also includes cases where the

tools available in the foreign debtor's home jurisdiction can allow the company to achieve its restructuring objectives. In these cases, it may make sense for a company to proceed with a main proceeding in its home location, along with an ancillary US proceeding. The ancillary proceeding will serve to enforce the outcome of the foreign proceeding in the United States and against US creditors, so long as the scheme is not manifestly contrary to the public policy of the United States.

A Chapter 11 proceeding, however, may be useful where a foreign debtor has a significant US presence or creditor base and wishes to use more of the helpful features of the Bankruptcy Code. For instance, a company considering filing may need liquidity to implement a desired restructuring, and so may turn to Chapter 11 to borrow money on a priming basis. Alternatively, a company may have meaningful preference claims against counterparties in the United States and wish to use a Chapter 11 to pursue those claims effectively. A company may also wish to reject or renegotiate burdensome contracts to complete an operational restructuring. Further, the utility of Chapter 11's cramdown features cannot be overstated. Cram down provides an effective pathway to consummating a restructuring plan over the objections of one or more classes of dissenting creditors, a pathway that is frequently unavailable in other jurisdictions. While companies are certainly not permitted to manufacture a Chapter 11 case for the purpose of evading creditor consent requirements of other jurisdictions, large multinationals often have multiple legitimate reorganisation pathways available to them, and cramdown powers are an important factor to consider when choosing the right jurisdiction.

Sometimes, a company may wish to proceed with dual plenary proceedings – a Chapter 11 case for some entities in the corporate family, as well as a bankruptcy proceeding in one or more local jurisdiction's local laws for others. Dual plenary proceedings are particularly useful where the debtor has a significant asset base in both the United States and abroad, and the debtor wishes to undertake an operational restructuring. Dual plenary proceedings may also occur as a result of multi-jurisdictional creditor actions against a foreign multinational. In the United States, creditors may attempt to file an involuntary proceeding under the Bankruptcy Code against the foreign multinational's US subsidiaries or subsidiaries operating in the United States. Depending on the facts and circumstances, these involuntary proceedings can frequently be converted to a voluntary Chapter 11, allowing the debtor to retain control of the bankruptcy, obtain the benefits of the automatic stay and eventually use the Chapter 11 proceeding to implement a global restructuring plan negotiated in the jurisdiction of its main interests.

Of course, there are times when it simply does not make sense to file any proceeding in the United States at all. A company with minimal US contacts, or one that has obtained a very high level of creditor consent to a proposed restructuring plan, may rightly feel that the marginal benefits of an ancillary proceeding do not outweigh the costs.

# Appendix 1

## About the Authors

### **Andrew G Dietderich**

Sullivan & Cromwell LLP

Andy Dietderich is a partner in the general practice group and co-head of Sullivan & Cromwell's global finance and restructuring group. Andy has experience in substantially all areas of corporate legal practice, including M&A, securities, leveraged finance, joint ventures, intellectual property and litigation. His current practice focuses on the use of Chapter 11 to resolve balance sheet concerns.

In Chapter 11, Andy has pioneered the use of state-of-the-art transactional solutions for clients, including in the successful reorganisation of Eastman Kodak Company, the purchase of Chrysler by Fiat, the separation of the TerraForm companies from SunEdison, and the design of the stand-alone plan for General Growth Properties. He is presently lead counsel for the Chapter 11 of Garrett Motion, which involves the filing of group companies in over 20 non-US jurisdictions as Chapter 11 debtors. Outside Chapter 11, Andy has helped clients avoid or shorten dozens of insolvencies, and regularly represents corporations, funds and family officers in special situation investments of all types.

Andy joined the firm in 1996 and was elected partner in 2003. He grew up in the Pacific Northwest (Zig Zag, Oregon) and now lives in Brooklyn. Andy is a graduate and active alumnus of Harvard Law School (*JD magna cum laude*, 1995) and Harvard College (*AB magna cum laude*, 1991).

### **James L Bromley**

Sullivan & Cromwell LLP

Jim Bromley is a partner in Sullivan & Cromwell's finance and restructuring group and is the co-head of the firm's global restructuring practice. Jim's practice focuses on domestic and cross-border restructuring, and giving bankruptcy advice to a broad spectrum of corporate

clients, including debtors, creditors, sponsors, strategic acquirers and government actors. He is widely regarded as a leading litigator on bankruptcy and insolvency matters, having tried multiple cases before state and federal courts across the United States, including serving as lead trial counsel in the ground-breaking months-long Nortel Networks allocation trial that took place simultaneously in Canada and the United States.

For three decades, Jim has advised on the most prominent restructuring matters across a wide group of industries including aviation, automobiles, oil and gas, telecommunications, shipping, mining, financial services, energy and retail. He has had leading roles in the *Lehman Brothers*, *General Motors*, *Chrysler*, *Toys 'R' Us*, *Sears* and *Overseas Shipholding* Chapter 11 proceedings, among others. Most recently, Jim served as lead counsel to California Resources Corporation in successful 2020 Chapter 11 proceedings, in which over US\$5 billion in debt was restructured on a consensual basis in just over 100 days, and as counsel to Belize in the successful 2020 consent solicitation relating to their sovereign debt. Jim is also one of the leaders of the firm's representation of the interim government of the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela. In addition, Jim has an active pro bono practice, which included serving as lead counsel in a landmark four-week jury trial that yielded unanimous verdicts that conversion therapy is a violation of the New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act.

Jim is a *summa cum laude* graduate of Boston College and graduate of the Columbia Law School, where he was a member of the *Columbia Law Review*.

### **Fabio Weinberg Crocco**

Sullivan & Cromwell LLP

Fabio Weinberg Crocco is an associate in Sullivan & Cromwell's finance and restructuring group. His practice focuses on bankruptcy, corporate and sovereign restructuring, special situations and the resolution of related disputes, including in Latin America. Fabio is the vice chair of the International Bar Association's Reorganisation and Workouts subcommittee and a member of the International Insolvency Institute's NextGen Leadership Program. Fabio holds JSM and LLM degrees from Stanford Law School, a Magister Juris degree from the University of Oxford and a Bachelor of Laws degree from the Law School of the Getúlio Vargas Foundation. Fabio is a member of the New York and Brazilian Bar associations.

### **Sullivan & Cromwell LLP**

125 Broad Street

New York, New York 10004-2498

United States

Tel: +1 212 558 4000

Fax: +1 212 558 3588

galviss@sullcrom.com

ahlersw@sullcrom.com

dietdericha@sullcrom.com

bromleyj@sullcrom.com

weinbergf@sullcrom.com

giuffrar@sullcrom.com

www.sullcrom.com

Corruption investigations, expropriation, industrial accidents, pandemics: corporate crises take many forms, but each can be equally dangerous for companies in Latin America.

Published by *Latin Lawyer*, edited by Sergio J Galvis, Robert J Giuffra Jr and Werner F Ahlers of Sullivan & Cromwell LLP, *The Guide to Managing a Corporate Crisis* is designed to assist key corporate decision-makers and their advisers in effectively planning for and managing corporate crises in the region. Fifty leading practitioners from a variety of disciplines have contributed their knowledge and insights from their experience.

Covering the impact of political instability, the role of communications in crisis response, approaches to bribery investigations and game plans in response to financial stress, this book provides guidance that will benefit all practitioners when an unexpected crisis hits.

Visit [latinlawyer.com](http://latinlawyer.com)  
Follow @Latin\_Lawyer on Twitter  
Find us on LinkedIn

**an LBR business**

ISBN 978-1-83862-429-3