December 28, 2017

# **Contested Bank Acquisition Application**

In a recent Bank Holding Company Act ("BHC Act") decision, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the "Board") again indicated that a target institution's opposition would not prevent approval of an application. *NATCOM Bancshares, Inc.*, FRB Order No. 2017-37 (Dec. 18, 2017).

NATCOM had filed an application under Section 3 of the BHC Act to acquire 49% of the voting shares of Republic Bancshares.<sup>1</sup> Republic's management opposed the application on several grounds. The Board reiterated its prior position that it will apply the same BHC Act criteria to all proposals, irrespective of whether the management of the target institution opposed the transaction. The Board approved the application subject to "commitments made to the Board", but these commitments were not disclosed in the Order.

The Board noted and dismissed several arguments that Republic had made in opposition. These included: (i) Republic's loss of its ability to be treated as an S corporation and resultant shareholder litigation (because "[t]hose matters do not fall within the scope of statutory factors the Board is required to consider"); (ii) Republic's claim, by implication, that the transaction would negatively impact Republic's CRA performance (because NATCOM committed to no changes in Republic's programs and NATCOM had an "outstanding" CRA rating); and (iii) pending litigation by Republic challenging the approval of the application by the Minnesota Department of Commerce (because Federal Reserve action "would not interfere with the court's ability to resolve the pending litigation"). The Board did not directly address the question of whether Republic's management would be weakened or disrupted by potential conflict with a large minority shareholder.

Although the Board's decision is limited to the specific facts of this matter, it seemingly reaffirms the Board's position that it is not hesitant to approve applications in contested transactions. In situations where the target institution is state-chartered, however, the state authorities may give more weight to management's objections. In this case, the Minnesota Department of Commerce originally denied

New York Washington, D.C. Los Angeles Palo Alto London Paris Frankfurt Brussels Tokyo Hong Kong Beijing Melbourne Sydney

NATCOM's application. The subsequent approval, after a rehearing, was subject to a number of "passivity" type commitments (such as no interlocks).

\* \* \*

#### ENDNOTE

<sup>1</sup> An estate owned 51% of Republic's stock and a family member owned the remaining 49% that was sold to NATCOM.

Copyright © Sullivan & Cromwell LLP 2017

#### ABOUT SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP

Sullivan & Cromwell LLP is a global law firm that advises on major domestic and cross-border M&A, finance, corporate and real estate transactions, significant litigation and corporate investigations, and complex restructuring, regulatory, tax and estate planning matters. Founded in 1879, Sullivan & Cromwell LLP has more than 875 lawyers on four continents, with four offices in the United States, including its headquarters in New York, four offices in Europe, two in Australia and three in Asia.

#### **CONTACTING SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP**

This publication is provided by Sullivan & Cromwell LLP as a service to clients and colleagues. The information contained in this publication should not be construed as legal advice. Questions regarding the matters discussed in this publication may be directed to any of our lawyers listed below, or to any other Sullivan & Cromwell LLP lawyer with whom you have consulted in the past on similar matters. If you have not received this publication directly from us, you may obtain a copy of any past or future publications by sending an e-mail to <u>SCPublications@sullcrom.com</u>.

#### CONTACTS

#### **New York**

 TOIR				
Thomas C. Baxter Jr.	+1-212-558-4324	baxtert@sullcrom.com		
Jason J. Cabral	+1-212-558-7370	<u>cabralj@sullcrom.com</u>		
Whitney A. Chatterjee	+1-212-558-4883	chatterjeew@sullcrom.com		
H. Rodgin Cohen	+1-212-558-3534	cohenhr@sullcrom.com		
Elizabeth T. Davy	+1-212-558-7257	davye@sullcrom.com		
Mitchell S. Eitel	+1-212-558-4960	eitelm@sullcrom.com		
Michael T. Escue	+1-212-558-3721	escuem@sullcrom.com		
Jared M. Fishman	+1-212-558-1689	fishmanj@sullcrom.com		
C. Andrew Gerlach	+1-212-558-4789	gerlacha@sullcrom.com		
Wendy M. Goldberg	+1-212-558-7915	goldbergw@sullcrom.com		
Charles C. Gray	+1-212-558-4410	grayc@sullcrom.com		
Shari D. Leventhal	+1-212-558-4354	leventhals@sullcrom.com		
Erik D. Lindauer	+1-212-558-3548	lindauere@sullcrom.com		
Mark J. Menting	+1-212-558-4859	mentingm@sullcrom.com		
Camille L. Orme	+1-212-558-3373	ormec@sullcrom.com		
Rebecca J. Simmons	+1-212-558-3175	simmonsr@sullcrom.com		
William D. Torchiana	+1-212-558-4056	torchianaw@sullcrom.com		
Donald J. Toumey	+1-212-558-4077	toumeyd@sullcrom.com		
Marc Trevino	+1-212-558-4239	trevinom@sullcrom.com		
Mark J. Welshimer	+1-212-558-3669	welshimerm@sullcrom.com		
George H. White III	+1-212-558-4328	whiteg@sullcrom.com		
Michael M. Wiseman	+1-212-558-3846	wisemanm@sullcrom.com		

Washington, D.C.		
Eric J. Kadel, Jr.	+1-202-956-7640	kadelej@sullcrom.com
William F. Kroener III	+1-202-956-7095	kroenerw@sullcrom.com
Stephen H. Meyer	+1-202-956-7605	meyerst@sullcrom.com
Jennifer L. Sutton	+1-202-956-7060	suttonj@sullcrom.com
Andrea R. Tokheim	+1-202-956-7015	tokheima@sullcrom.com
Samuel R. Woodall III	+1-202-956-7584	woodalls@sullcrom.com
Los Angeles		
Patrick S. Brown	+1-310-712-6603	brownp@sullcrom.com
William F. Kroener III	+1-310-712-6696	kroenerw@sullcrom.com
Paris		
William D. Torchiana	+33-1-7304-5890	torchianaw@sullcrom.com
Melbourne		
Robert Chu	+61-3-9635-1506	chur@sullcrom.com
Tokyo		
Keiji Hatano	+81-3-3213-6171	hatanok@sullcrom.com